

**Evaluation Form for Special Research Programme
INDIAN COUNCIL OF SOCIAL SCIENCE RESEARCH**

New Delhi

Name of the Scholar:

F. No. 02/175/ST/15-16 30/6/19

Research Topic: विनाश कर शिवाजी और वेदवर्ति राज्यादर
वां योर्दिक: एव शिवाजी राज्यादर नरेन्द्र

Sl. No.	Evaluation criteria	Below Average	Average	Very Good	Outstanding
1.	Description of Research Problem			✓ 95	
		Comments: Chhatisgarh is a mineral rich state where a lot of industries have been set up causing displacement and rehabilitation. Focusing on that region this is a very appropriate project.			
2.	Review of Literature			✓ 95	
		Comments: Review of literature is adequate. Scholars like Michael Cernea and D. C. Sah to name a few who have worked on similar themes have been aptly quoted.			
3.	Methodology Used			✓ 95	
		Comments: Research methodology both in terms of tools & techniques and theoretical frameworks is very appropriate and precise. The scholar is methodologically sound.			
4.	Findings and Interpretations			✓ 95	
		Comments: Much of the findings are like those found by other scholars working on the same theme. A few original angles of interpretation are refreshing & logical.			
5.	Linguistically and Grammar-wise			✓ 95	
		Comments: The report is in Hindi and Dr. Kujar has a good knowledge of that language. It is linguistically and grammatically correct.			

Sl. No.	Evaluation criteria	Below Average	Average	Very Good	Outstanding
6.	Importance for Policy Making			✓ 9/10	
		Comments: There are some useful insights as policy inputs but policy makers hardly ever read such pieces of research.			
7.	Importance for Society			✓ 9/10	
		Comments: The outcomes have social relevance. The caution suggested will reduce suffering caused by displacement & rehabilitation.			
8.	Overall Recommendation			✓ 9/10	
		Comments: It is a very good piece of research. The scholar has worked hard sincerely & deserves our appreciation.			
				YES	NO
9.	The report may be accepted as it is				
10.	The scholar be asked to submit a revised report in the light of the above comments				
11.	The report may be accepted but the scholar be asked to revise the same before its publication as a Book/ Monograph				
12.	The report may be accepted but the scholar be asked to publish it as a Research Paper				
13.	The report may be accepted but not recommended for publication				
14.	Any other Comment: On the whole it is a good, neat piece of research painstakingly completed with valuable results.				

